Save

Print



980 NINTH STREET, 15[™] FLOOR SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814 WWW.DELTACOUNCIL.CA.GOV (916) 445-5511

Certification of Consistency Form

Use this form to:

Certify with the Council, that a proposed Covered Action (plan, program, or project) is consistent with the Delta Plan.

Note: This form has not been reviewed or approved by the Delta Stewardship Council

S	Section 1	Agency Profile
	GOVERNMENT AGENC Agency Name: Agency Contact Name:	
	Address: City, State, Zip: Telephone: Agency Contact E-mail Address:	/
S	Section 2	Covered Action Profile
A.	COVERED ACTION PRO	
	(Not to exceed 50 characters) Proponent Completing Covered Action:	
	Proponent Address:	City State Zip:
В.	COVERED ACTION SUM	MMARY FROM THE APPROVED CEQA DOCUMENT:
c.	STATE CLEARINGHOUS	
D.	(a) Time Line: EXPECT	
	(b) Total Projected Co	est estimate of the total cost of the Plan, Program, or Project. Please list all sources used for
E.	DID YOU HAVE EARLY	CONSULTATION ABOUT THE COVERED ACTION? YES NO NO (CLICK HERE TO ATTACH ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS TO SECTION 2)

Section 3	G	ove	ernment A	pproval	S		
Agency	Requ YES	uired NO	Type of Approval	Approval Number	Date Issued or Expected	Date Expires	Agency Contact and Phone Number
Local Government Discretionary Approval(s)							
Delta Protection Commission						•	
Bay Conservation and Development Commission							
State Lands Commission							
CalTrans							
State Water Resources Control Board					V		
Regional Water Quality Control Board							
Department of Toxic Substances Control							
Department of Fish and Game							
U.S. Army Corp of Engineers			V				
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service							
State Boating and Waterways							
NOAA Fisheries		0					
U.S. Coast Guard							
Other Approval (Specify)							

Section 4

Consistency with Delta Plan

Information to be submitted as Certificate of Consistency

Certifications of consistency with the Delta Plan must address the following:

Governance Chapter 3

Policy No.	Certification Consistency Requirements	Attachments					
<u>G P1</u>	A covered action must be consistent with each of the policies contained in the Delta Plan implicated by the covered action. Provide a narrative documenting how the Covered Action is consistent with the coequal goals and the inherent objectives.	0					
	Disclose all potentially significant adverse environmental impacts and feasible mitigations of those adverse impacts.	0					
	As relevant to the purpose and nature of the project, submit documentation on the use of best available science as described in Chapter 2. This documentation should be consistent with the guidelines and criteria developed by the National Research Council and the State of Washington. Proposed Covered Actions should document that the science used follows the criteria adapted from the National Research Council report as they apply to the Delta, summarized in Table 2.1						
	For all ecosystem restoration and water management covered actions, include documentation of adequate provisions, appropriate to the scope of the covered action, that assures continued implementation of adaptive management consistent with the Delta Plan	0					
	 This requirement shall be satisfied through the submittal of: An adaptive management plan that describes the approach to be taken for each of the nine steps of the Adaptive Management Framework as described in Chapter 2 of the Delta Plan, Documentation of access to adequate resources and delineated authority by the entity responsible for the implementation of the proposed adaptive management process. 						
	Provide documentation that the covered action is in compliance at all times with existing applicable law.	0					

Water Supply Chapter 4

If any of the following policies are not applicable, proponent must provide explanation and provide documentation conclusively demonstrating that this policy does not apply to this Covered Action.

Policy No.	Is the Covered Action Consistent with the Policy?		Action Consistent			Certification Documentation		
	YES	NO	N/A					
WR P1	C	0	0	 Urban Water Supplier's - Submit the following: Documentation from Department of Water Resources that Urban Water Management Plan and all required elements and measures was accepted and deemed complete. Documentation that governing authority has adopted and implemented the Urban Water Management Plan. Documentation that the governing authority has adopted and implemented a plan to achieve 20 percent reduction in statewide urban per capita water use by December 31, 2020, meeting the standards and timelines established in Water Code section 10608 et seq. 	O			

				Agricultural Water Supplier (After December 31, 2012) - Submit the following:	0
				 Documentation that the governing authority has adopted and implemented specific Agricultural Efficient Water Management Practices as established in <u>Water Code section 10608 et seq.</u> 	
				Documentation from Department of Water Resources that an Agricultural Water Management Plan and all required elements was accepted and deemed complete as established in Water Code section 10800 et seq.	
				Documentation that the governing authority has adopted and implemented the Agricultural Water Management Plan.	
				Water Suppliers	0
				After December 31, 2016: Submit the new or expanded Water Supply Reliability Element from an accepted Urban Water Management/Agricultural Water Management Plan or from an approved Integrated Regional Water Management Plan or other plan that provides equivalent information. The Water Supply Reliability Element shall include all the information detailed in Policy WR P1.	
				After December 31, 2021: Submit documentation that the governing authority has implemented a conservative oriented rate structure.	
WR P2:	0	0	0	Submit documentation that the process to develop new water supply contracts, modify existing water supply contracts, renew existing water supply contracts, or development of any other agreement to export water from, transfer water through, or use water in the Delta included public participation. The documentation may include copies of all notices informing the public of the negotiations and inviting them to observe as well as provide documentation that the public was given opportunities to comment during the processes.	0
	e follo	wing		es are not applicable, proponent must provide explanation and provide demonstrating that this policy does not apply to this Covered Action.	
Policy No.	Actio	ne Cove n Cons the Po	istent	Certification Documentation	Attachments
ER P1	0	Ó	C	Provide appropriate permits illustrating the proposed project's development, implementation and enforcement of new and updated flow requirements for the Delta and high priority tributaries.	0
ER P2	0	0	0	For proposed habitat restoration projects, provide a map in GIS based on Figure 5-2, Habitat Types Based on Elevation, of the Delta Plan that shows the location of the project and submit documentation from the Department of Fish and Game (DFG) stating that the proposed habitat restoration project is consistent.	0
ER P3	0	0	0	Submit documentation from DFG that the proposed project either avoids or mitigates the adverse impacts to habitat restoration opportunities as shown in Figure 5-2.	

_					
ER P4	0	0	0	For Delta levee construction and/or rehabilitation projects, submit documentation stating alternatives that would increase the extent of floodplain and riparian habitats, such as setback levees, were evaluated and incorporated into the project. If those alternatives were not incorporated into the project, explain and provide documentation supporting why those options are not feasible.	0
<u>ER P5</u>	0	0	0	Submit documentation that the potential for new introductions of, or improved habitat conditions for nonnative invasive species has been fully considered and avoided or mitigated in a way that appropriately protects the ecosystem.	0
-	ne follo	wing	policie	es are not applicable, proponent must provide explanation and provide demonstrating that this policy does not apply to this Covered Action.	
	Is th	ne Cove	ered		
Policy No.		n Cons		Certification Documentation	Attachments
	YES	NO	N/A		
<u>RR P1</u>	0	0	0	Submit a map of the project location that includes locations and extent of floodways in proximity to the project. If project is within or diminishes floodway, submit a mitigation plan with all hydraulic analysis and calculations (including all inputs and assumptions) demonstrating the mitigation measures are capable of passing the flood flows.	0
RR P2	0	0	0	 Submit a map that includes the project location, the areas described below, and their proximity to each other, showing that the project does not encroach upon those areas Areas located in the Yolo Bypass from Fremont Weir through Cache Slough to the Sacramento River including the confluence of Putah Creek into the bypass. The Cosumnes River-Mokelumne River Confluence, as defined by the North Delta Flood Control and Ecosystem Restoration Project (McCormack-Williamson), or as modified in the future by the Department of Water Resources or the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (DWR 2010a). The Lower San Joaquin River Floodplain bypass, located on the Lower San Joaquin River upstream of Stockton immediately southwest of Paradise Cut on lands both upstream and downstream of the Interstate 5 crossing. This area is described in the Lower San Joaquin River Floodplain Bypass Proposal, submitted to the Department of Water Resources by the partnership of the South Delta Water Agency, the River Islands Development Company, RD 2062, San Joaquin Resource Conservation District, American Rivers, the American Lands Conservancy, and the Natural Resources Defense Council, March 2011. This area may be modified in the future through the completion of this project. 	C
RR P3	0	0	0	 A map of the proposed project location that includes the locations of all the levees that will be providing flood protection for the project. Documentation that the levees protecting the proposed project meet the minimum design classification for the appropriate land use as defined in Table 7-1. If the existing levee's classifications are inadequate for the proposed land use 	0

				type, the proposed project must include provisions for constructing new levees that meet the minimum design classification for the proposed land use as defined in Table 7-1.	
RR P4	0	0	0	Submit documentation demonstrating that any State Funds used for levee improvements are consistent with Delta Levees Special Flood Control Projects – Near Term Guidelines for Providing Funding.	0



Save

Submit

 $\underline{\textbf{Note:}} \ \ \textit{This form has not been reviewed or approved by the Delta Stewardship Council}$